

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/560,182	02/11/2008	Ingo Schulze	2003P00857WOUS	1368	
46726 BSH HOME A	7590 08/08/201 APPLIANCES CORPO	EXAM	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 100 BOSCH BOULEVARD NEW BERN, NC 28562			PERRIN, JOSEPH L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1711		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/08/2011	ELECTRONIC .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

NBN-IntelProp@bshg.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/560,182	SCHULZE, INGO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Joseph L. Perrin	1711		

	Joseph L. Perrin	1711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 25 July 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
 M The reply was flied after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 G periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request				
The period for reply expires months from the mailing	date of the final rejection						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	he period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In o event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Stammer Note: 1 box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW.						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN IW				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.198(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) a:				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external file.							
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I They raise new issues that would require further coil They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in bet 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);					
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	auding or simplifying t	ie issues ioi				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 25-28 and 35-42.							
Claim(s) rejected. 25-26 and 35-42. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 15-24 and 35-42.							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	il and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowers					
	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because.				
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	/Joseph L. Perrin/						
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1711					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment requires further consideration and search, and complicates issues for appeal. Furthermore, the proposed amendment FAILS to meet the requirements of a COMPLETE REPLY in accordance with the Final Office action and the Finality of the Setriction Requirement.

Continuation of 13. Other: Regarding the Restriction Requirement, Applicant provides new traversal arguments for a THIRD time despite the Restriction Requirement being made Final TWO Office actions prior in the Non-final Action of 01/28/2011. The Finality of the Restriction Requirement does not invite Applicant to continually traverse different arguments in each response. Rather, the Finality of the Restriction Requirement means that Applicant timely traversed the Restriction, the arguments were not persuasive, and the Restriction is FINAL. No further comment is required upon Finality of the Restriction Requirement.

Examiner notes that Applicant was clearly put on notice that a complete reply to the Final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action under 37 CFR 1.144 and MPEP 82.10.1 This After Final response FALLS to meet the requirements of the complete reply requirements as indicated in the Final rejection. Rather, Applicant has amended the withdrawn claims and continued to present new traversal arguments well after FINALITY of the Restriction Requirement was established which FALLS to meet the aforementioned requirements of a complete reply. The failure to provide a complete reply at least partially has resulted in the non-entry of the After Final amendment.

Examiner further notes however that while Applicant had reserved the right to petition the claims filed 12/09/2005 that were properly traversed prior to the Restriction being made FINAL, the instant amendment provides significant amendment but the the withdrawn claims that has no effect whatsoever in the status of the withdrawn claims. The withdrawn claims remain withdrawn until either cancelled or subject to rejoinder upon allowance of the elected claims. Examiner knows of no Rule or Statute that allows Applicant to reserve the right to petition a restriction requirement with new traversal arguments and new claim scope AFTER FINALITY OF THE RESTRICTION has been made. Simply stated, all arguments and amendments to the non-elected claims made AFTER FINALITY OF THE RESTRICTION that considered until the provision of the MPEP with states that Applicant the provision of the MPEP with states that Applicant may continue to traverse a Restriction with new arguments and amendments at any time after the Restriction has been made FINAL.

For the THIRD time in this application, Examiner reminds Applicant that this Restriction has been made FINAL. In accordance with MPEP 821.01, a complete response MUST include CANCELLATION OF NONELECTED CLAIMS or OTHER APPROPRIATE ACTION. Examiner notes that OTHER APPROPRIATE ACTION such as a PETITION from requirement for restriction would be limited to the traversal arguments and pending claims prior to the Restriction being made FINAL as they were properly made of record. Untimely arguments and amendments made AFTER FINALITY OF THE RESTRICTION are improper and will not be considered.

Applicant may continue to amend the method claims incorporating the subject matter of the apparatus claims since the withdrawn claims may be subject to rejoinder should the elected apparatus apparatus be placed in condition for allowance. However, withdrawn claims remain withdrawn and not formerly examined on the merits unless they are eligible for rejoinder by depending from or otherwise including all of the limitations of an allowable claim. See MPEP 821.04 for possible rejoinder of the nonelected claims upon indication of allowance of the elected apparatus claims.